



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/738,916

12/16/2003

Clifford W. Turnbull

501326.01

8363

7590

05/04/2006

Steven H. Arterberry, Esq.
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
Suite 3400
1420 Fifth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101

EXAMINER

GANEY, STEVEN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3752

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

10/738,916

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. e
---------------------------------	-------------	---	--

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER


05106

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on February 13, 2006 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant presents newly entered claim 43, however, the applicant does not present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the newly presented claim, patentable over applied references to Trusty et al, Nakamura, Filicicchia et al or Filicicchia et al in view of Trusty et al. Only a general allegation that the cited references do not disclose or fairly suggest the sensor element of the level sensor being configured to detect a liquid volume within the supply vessel solely by sensing a resistance property of the liquid in the supply vessel in combination with the other limitations is presented. There are no arguments presented pointing out the specific distinctions between this limitation and the applied references to Trusty et al, Nakamura, Filicicchia et al or Filicicchia et al in view of Trusty et al. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).


Steven J. Ganey
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3752

5/11/06